Ethical obligations for authors

1. The primary responsibility of the author is submitting for publication a scientific and rigorous description of their experimental, theoretical or technological work, with an objective discussion of its results.

2. The article submitted must contain enough references from public sources. This should allow professionals to consult their work and verify the sources. The author must acknowledge and give proper attribution to those publications that have influenced and determined the nature of the article to be published, with the aim of guiding the reader to previous work to assist the understanding of the work published.

3. The information obtained by the author privately, as a result of conversations, correspondence, or discussions with third parties will not be used or stated on the work without the explicit permission of the people through which it was obtained. Information obtained from manuscripts under review or collaborative applications will be treated the same way.

4. The submission will not contain plagiarized material or misrepresented research data. Further, the authors confirm by signature that the item has not been previously published nor can they have submitted to another journal simultaneously.

5. The author should not fragment their research for publication. The authors have done their work on a system or group of related systems. Organizing the publication accordingly for the purpose of each work gives a complete description of a particular aspect of the overall study. It is unethical for an author to present more than one paper for publication describing the same research or project in more than one magazine.

6. Criticism on the contents and criteria of a published work is ethical; however, personal criticism is not acceptable.

7. To protect the integrity of the authorship, only professionals who have collaborated in the investigation or project and preparation of the article are listed as co-authors. The author(s) attest(s) to the fact that all co-authors are included when submitting the Letter of Request for Publication.

8. The author should not have changes in the content of their work after it has been accepted and has been returned to conduct the proposed corrections. If there is a compelling reason for change, the author should inform the Editorial Committee and it has the authority to approve or reject the proposed changes.

9. The author must not know the name of the referees or ask about them.